# A REMARK ON POLYNOMIALS AND THE TRANSFINITE DIAMETER

### ₿Y

## P. ERDÖS AND E. NETANYAHU

#### ABSTRACT

The main result is the following theorem: Let *E* be the point set for which  $\left|\prod_{\nu=1}^{n} (z-z_{\nu})\right| < 1$ . If the zeros  $z_{\nu}$  ( $\nu=1,\ldots,n$ ) belong to a bounded, closed and connected set whose transfinite diameter is 1-c (0 < c < 1), then *E* contains a disk of positive radius  $\rho$ , dependent only on *c*.

Let

(1) 
$$f(z) = \prod_{\nu=1}^{n} (z - z_{\nu}),$$

and denote by E = E(f) the point set for which

|f(z)| < 1.

The present note deals with the proof of the following theorem.

THEOREM. Let D be a bounded, closed and connected set, whose transfinite diameter d(D) is equal to 1-c, 0 < c < 1. Let E(f) be the point set defined by (2), with  $z_v \in D$ ,  $v = 1, \dots, n$ . Then there exists a positive number  $\rho = \rho(c)$ (dependent only on c) such that the set E(f) always contains a disk of radius  $\rho(c)$ .

A weaker result is proved in [1, Th. 6]. It should be added that we give here an existence proof. A numerical estimate for  $\rho$  and for the degree of the polynomial (mentioned below) would be interesting.

For the proof of the theorem we need the following:

LEMMA. Let D be a set with the properties mentioned above. Then there

Received June 22, 1972

Israel J. Math.,

always exists a polynomial  $P(z) = z^m + a_1 z^{m-1} + \dots + a_m$ , whose degree m = m(c) depends only on c, such that  $|P(z)| < \frac{1}{2}$  on D (instead of  $\frac{1}{2}$  we could take any fixed a, 0 < a < 1).

PROOF. Suppose to the contrary that the lemma were false. Then there would exist bounded, closed and connected sets  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_n, \dots$ , all of them containing z = 0 with  $d(D_n) = 1 - c$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and such that any polynomial  $P(z) = z^k + \dots$  for which  $\max_{z \in D_n} |P(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  is satisfied, must be of degree at least n.

Denote by  $F_n$  the component of the complement of  $D_n$  which contains  $z = \infty$ . Let the complement of  $F_n$  be  $D'_n$ . Evidently  $D_n \subseteq D'_n$ , and if  $\max_{z \in D'_n} |P(z)| < \frac{1}{2}$ , then the degree of P is  $\geq n$ . By a well known theorem of Fekete [2], the univalent function  $\zeta = f_n(z)$ , which is regular in  $F_n$  except for  $z = \infty$ , where it has a simple pole with  $f'_n(\infty) = 1$ , maps  $F_n$  on  $|\zeta| > 1-c$ . The inverse functions  $z = \phi_n(\zeta)$  of  $\zeta = f_n(z)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , form a normal and compact family in  $|\zeta| > 1-c$ . Hence a subsequence  $\phi_{n_k}(\zeta)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \cdots$  converges uniformly in  $|\zeta| > 1 - c + \varepsilon$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$  and arbitrarily small so that  $1 - c + \varepsilon < 1$ ) to a univalent function  $\phi(\zeta) = \zeta + a_0 + a_1/\zeta + \cdots$  which maps  $|\zeta| > 1 - c + \varepsilon$  on a domain F whose complement is  $D^*$  with  $d(D^*) = 1 - c + \varepsilon$ . The image of  $|\zeta| = 1 - c + \varepsilon$ by  $\phi(\zeta)$  is C which is the boundary of  $D^*$ . The analytic curve  $C_{n_k}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ , which is the image of  $|\zeta| = 1 - c + \varepsilon$  by  $\phi_{n_k}(\zeta)$  is the boundary of a domain  $D_{nk}^*$  which contains  $D_{nk}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ . These domains  $D_{nk}^*$  converge uniformly to D\*; hence, because of our assumptions, there is no polynomial  $P(z) = z^m + \cdots$ such that  $|P(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  on  $D^*$ . But this last result, because of  $d(D^*) = 1 - c + \varepsilon < 1$ , is in contradiction to [2; §2, 3] and the proof of the lemma is complete.

The proof of the theorem follows now on the same lines as [1, Th. 6]. For the sake of completeness we present it here.

Let  $P(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} (z-t_i)$  be the polynomial of degree m = m(c) whose existence was proved and which satisfies  $|P(z)| < \frac{1}{2}$  on *D*. Evidently there exists a number  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\prod_{i=1}^{m} |z - s_i| < \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$  for all *z* in *D* if  $s_i$  lies in the disk  $H_i$ whose radius is  $\rho$  and center is at  $t_i$ . Let  $\max_{z \in H} |f(z)| = |f(s_i)|$ .

Since

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} f(s_i) = (-1)^{mn} \prod_{\nu=1}^{n} (z_{\nu} - s_1)(z_{\nu} - s_2) \cdots (z_{\nu} - s_m)$$

and since the right member is of a modulus less than 1, at least one of the quan-

tities  $|f(s_i)|$  is at most 1. Hence |f(z)| < 1 throughout one of the disks  $H_i$ , as was to be proved.

We remark that the theorem is false when D is not connected. Indeed, consider the lemmiscate

$$|z^2 - a^2| < 1$$
,  $(a > 0)$ 

By increasing a, it is seen that the radius of any disk contained in  $E(z^2 - a^2)$  can be made as small as we please.

Our result implies, of course, that if D is a connected set of transfinite diameter 1-c and if  $z_v \in D$ , then the area of the set  $\overline{E(f)}$ , given by  $|\prod_{\nu=1}^{n}(z-z_{\nu})| \leq 1$ , is greater than f(c); we have no explicit estimation of f(c).

If D has transfinite diameter 1, then perhaps the area of E(f) can be made  $< \varepsilon$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  if  $n > n_0(\varepsilon)$  (here the connectedness of D will not be needed). That this is so when D is the unit circle or the interval (-2, +2) is proved in [1]; the general case is open.

Another related problem is the maximum number of components of  $\overline{E(f)}$ . If *D* is the unit circle, it is proved in [1; Th. 7] that the maximum number is n-1, and if *D* is the interval (-2, +2), it is easy to see that E(f) can have *n* components. As far as we know, the general case has not been investigated.

## References

1. P. Erdös, Herzog and G. Piranian, *Metric properties of polynomials*, J. Analyse Math. 6 (1958), 125-148.

2. M. Fekete, Ueber die Verteilung der Wurzeln bei gewissen algebraischen Gleichungen mit ganzzahligen Koeffizienten, Math. Z. 17 (1923), 228–249.

TECHNION—ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY HAIFA, ISRAEL